

Supercurrent in the quantum Hall regime

F. Amet, C. T. Ke, I. V. Borzenets, J. Wang, K. Watanabe , T. Taniguchi, R. S. Deacon, M. Yamamoto, Y. Bomze, S. Tarucha, G. Finkelstein

Support: DOE and ARO

Outline

QH junctions and Andreev bound states Ballistic Josephson junctions in graphene Superconductivity in magnetic field Microscopic origins and hybrid edge modes

Motivation

Coupling superconductivity to topological material

QHE + SC is a natural direction



But QHE and SC do not mix well



THE SUPERCONDUCTING PROXIMITY EFFECT IN SEMICONDUCTOR-SUPERCONDUCTOR SYSTEMS: BALLISTIC TRANSPORT, LOW DI-MENSIONALITY AND SAMPLE SPECIFIC PROPERTIES B.J. van Wees and H. Takayanagi 1996

MONG et al. PHYS. REV. X (2014)

Example: supercurrent in 2D topological insulators Counterpropagating helical states with opposite spins Each edge can form its own Andreev bound states and can carry its own supercurrent In magnetic field the two supercurrents interfere,

resulting in a SQUID-like pattern





Supercurrent in QH

Chiral states on one edge propagate in the same direction (both electrons and holes, spin up and down) How could Andreev bound states be formed?



Supercurrent in QH

Chiral states on one edge propagate in the same direction (both electrons and holes, spin up and down) How could Andreev bound states be formed?



Super conductor	
↓ <p< td=""><td></td></p<>	
Superconductor	

Outline

QH junctions and Andreev bound states Ballistic Josephson junctions in graphene Superconductivity in magnetic field Microscopic origins and hybrid edge modes

Samples: h-BN/graphene/h-BN stacks

- Exfoliated graphene sandwiched by h-BN with quasi-1D edge contacts
- High mobility, QH regime observed < 1 T
- Molybdenum-Rhenium (MoRe): T_c at 10 K with H_{c2} ≈8 T
- Two-terminal geometry, four-probe measurement
- Base temperature of 35 mK

Four junctions studied in the QHE regime:





	L	W
J1	0.3µm	2.4µm
J2	0.8µm	2.4µm
J3	0.65µm	4.5µm
J4	0.5µm	2.7µm

V. E. Calado et al., Nat. Nano. (2015)

Zero-field properties

Fabry-Perot

MAR



Ballistic junctions: from short to long



Short vs. long ballistic junction: L vs. $\xi = \hbar v_F / \Delta = 500 \text{ nm}$ Long ballistic junction: $I_C \propto \exp(-kT/\delta E)$, where $\delta E = \hbar v_F / 2\pi L$ Kulik (1970)

Small B-field: Fraunhofer pattern

Local phase difference depends on position along the contacts $I_c(B) \propto |\sin(\pi \Phi / \Phi_0)| / (\pi \Phi / \Phi_0)$ $\Phi = WL \times B \quad \Phi_0 = h/2e$





Outline

QH junctions and Andreev bound states Ballistic Josephson junctions in graphene Superconductivity in magnetic field Microscopic origins and hybrid edge modes





Quantum Hall regime





- Left panel: $I_{DC}=6nA + I_{AC}=50 pA$
- Quantized plateaus

- Right panel: $I_{DC}=OnA + I_{AC}=50 pA$
- Superconducting pockets on plateaus

Alternating lines at high and low bias current



- At each B, the gate dependence is recorded at finite (few nA) and vanishing <100 pA current
- Alternating lines of high and low resistance

Pockets of supercurrent on top of QH plateaus



Superconductivity in the quantum Hall regime

- I-V curve: superconducting branch
- dV/dI phase diffusion

V_G= - 4.7 V B = 1 T T = 40 - 500 mK



Magnetic interference in QH regime



- V_G =-5.1 and -2.6 V, filling factors +/- 2
- A small field δB applied on top of 1T
- SQUID-like pattern indicates edge channel transport
- All interference patterns show the same periodicity as the Fraunhofer pattern around zero field.

Interference at different filling factors



• v = 2, 6 and 10 around 1 T

- SQUID-like pattern indicates edge channel transport
- All interference patterns show the same periodicity as the Fraunhofer pattern around zero field.

Interference pattern – distribution of current flow

- B ~ 0 T, regular Fraunhofer pattern due to uniform current flow
- The random interference starts at a few mT semiclassical trajectories

QHE

• The pattern eventually becomes regular in the QH regime Fraunhofer Semiclassical



Outline

QH junctions and Andreev bound states Ballistic Josephson junctions in graphene Superconductivity in magnetic field Microscopic origins and hybrid edge modes

Supercurrent at the QH edge states

To complete the Andreev bound state, an electron has to be converted to a hole and return back The electron and hole move in the same direction – the returning hole is on the opposite side – several microns away

	\uparrow
Oh	e●
V	

Supercurrent at the QH edge states

To complete the Andreev bound state, an electron has to be converted to a hole and return back The electron and hole move in the same direction – the returning hole is on the opposite side – several microns away



Superconductor

The opposite edges are connected by the hybrid e/h mode running along SC

Andreev Reflection in Strong Magnetic Fields

H. Hoppe, U. Zülicke, and Gerd Schön 1999



Ostaay, Akhmerov, Beenakker (2011)

e h

e

Resistance vs. B and gate



- The same periodicity at different gate voltages
 The phase depends on gate – (stripes are not vertical)
 - not a simple SQUID !

Consistent with this scenario:



Resistance vs. B and gate





 $\Delta \phi = 2\pi BW (L-2d) / \Phi_0 = 2\pi BW L / \Phi_0 + W d / I_0^2$

Geometric flux $2\pi \Phi/\Phi_0$ + Wd/l₀²

d depends on Vgate - phase depends on Vgate

Question: periodicity

Predicted: h/e Measured: h/2e

Charge poisoning

Coulomb interactions between Andreev states?

Averaging of a lower harmonic to zero?

Anomalous current phase relation?

Supercurrent flows along each edge?





Supercurrent flowing along each edge?

Requires counter-propagating edge states, which may be possible due to density build-up near edges. This contradicts:

- plateau quantization
- supercurrent existence

across the whole plateau

 SC stronger for L=800 nm, W=2.5µm then for L=650 nm, W=4.5µm
 phase-gate dependence having the same sign of the slope for electrons and holes





Summary

- Supercurrent in the QH regime
- Magnetic field periodicity very close to that
- of the Fraunhofer pattern
- Phase dependence of Vgate is consistent with
- the existence of hybrid e/h modes running along SC.
- These modes couple counterpropagating electrons and hole edge states on the opposite sides of the sample



François Amet and Chung Ting Ke



Ivan Borzenets and Yura Bomze

Motivation: superconductivity + QHE

Coupling superconductivity to topological states QHE + SC is a natural direction

But QHE and SC do not mix well



MONG et al. PHYS. REV. X (2014)

THE SUPERCONDUCTING PROXIMITY EFFECT IN SEMICONDUCTOR-SUPERCONDUCTOR SYSTEMS: BALLISTIC TRANSPORT, LOW DI-MENSIONALITY AND SAMPLE SPECIFIC PROPERTIES B.J. van Wees and H. Takayanagi 1996 Motivation: superconductivity + QHE

Coupling superconductivity to topological states

QHE + SC is a natural direction



MONG et al. PHYS. REV. X (2014)

But QHE and SC do not mix well



Ballistic junctions – normal state conductance



Zero-field properties – ballistic junctions



V. E. Calado et al., Nature Nano. (2015).M. T. Allen et al., Nat. Phys. (2016).M. Ben Shalom et al., Nat. Phys. (2016).

Zero-field properties – ballistic junctions



V. E. Calado et al., Nature Nano. (2015).M. T. Allen et al., Nat. Phys. (2016).M. Ben Shalom et al., Nat. Phys. (2016).

Multiple Andreev Reflections (MAR) $eV = 2\Delta/n$

Ballistic junctions: from short to long



Short vs. long ballistic junction: L vs. $\xi = \hbar v_F / \Delta = 500 \text{ nm}$ Long ballistic junction: $I_C \propto \exp(-kT/\delta E)$, where $\delta E = \hbar v_F / 2\pi L$ Kulik (1970)

Ballistic junctions: from short to long



Short vs. long ballistic junction: L vs. $\xi = \hbar v_F / \Delta = 500 \text{ nm}$ Long ballistic junction: $I_C \propto \exp(-kT/\delta E)$, where $\delta E = \hbar v_F / 2\pi L$ Kulik (1970)

Resistance vs. B and gate





Ostaay, Akhmerov, Beenakker (2011)

Semiclassical regime (2r_c>L)



100nA

- Random trajectories create irregular interference patterns
- ⁰ nA Sample stays superconducting through the entire semiclassical regime
 - Maps are biased at 0, 6 & 100 nA.

Ben Shalom et al., Nat. Phys. (2015).

Intermediate range of B field





Fraunhofer around zero field



•
$$\Phi = BS$$
, $\Phi 0 = h/2e$

• The magnetic focusing area is considered

	Expected Periodicity
J1	0.6mT
J3	0.3mT

S. Hart et al Nat. Phys. **10** 638-643(2014)
P. A. Rosenthal et al A.P.L. **59**(1991)

Magnetic focusing area

Device	Length	Width	Focusing area	Expected	Fraunhofer	QH period
	8			period	period	
	0.3µm	2.4µm	$2.9\mu m^2$	0.6mT	0.6mT	0.5mT
J_2	0.8µm	2.4µm	$2.3 \mu m^2$	0.5mT	0.7mT	0.5~0.55mT
J ₃	0.65µm	4.5µm	$3.7\mu m^2$	0.3mT	0.3mT	0.4mT
J_4	0.5µm	2.7µm	$2\mu m^2$	0.6mT	0.8mT	0.7mT



Fraunhofer vs. gate



Sample Fabrication

- Standard pick up method :
- RIE define the mesa
- Ebeam litho define MoRe contacts
- Sputtering MoRe



L. Wang et al. Science 342 614-7 (2013)



Sample characterization

 $G(V_G)=T_1T_2G_Q$ where $G_Q=N^*e^2/h$ $T_N=0.95$ per interface $T_P=0.45$ to 0.7



Quantum Hall at 1.5 T, 3.5 K





QHE quantization at 4 K



- Well quantized plateaus at 4 K under 1T
- Due to the geometry, i.e. aspect ratio, J3 has unusual quantum plateau.

Transparency of SC contacts

• From quantum limit $G(V_G)=T_1T_2G_Q$ where $G_Q=N^*e^2/h$ $T_N= 0.95$ per interface $T_P=0.45$ to 0.7



• From OBTK model:

K. Flensberg et al. PRB 38 8707 (1988)

T_P=0.75 per interface From excess current I_{excess} =1.45 μA With the ratio of $eI_{excess}R_N/\Delta$



Fabry-Perot interferometry

• From $Lk_F = n\pi$, the trajectory length is estimated as 500 nm, which is close to the junction length of 650 nm for J_3 ; difference due to PN junctions



Measurement map for Junction 1



- Temperature dependence is conducted in three different locations
- Magnetic field interference is measured in three pockets

Alternating lines at high and low bias current



- At each B, the gate dependence is recorded at finite (few nA) and vanishing <100 pA current
- Alternating lines of high and low resistance

	L	W
J1	0.3µm	2.4µm
J2	0.8µm	2.4µm
J3	0.65µm	4.5µm

Alternating lines at high and low bias current



J2 0.8μm 2.4μm

Interference at different filling factors



- v = 2, 6 and 10 around 1T
- Periodicity is very close



Superconductivity proximity to topological states

- Various proposals on coupling superconductivity to topological states
- Potential application in fault-tolerance quantum computation
- Majorana zero mode is predicted with broken symmetry
- In graphene, QH edge states + SC is one of the possible directions





J. Wiedenmann et al, Nat. Comm. 10303 (2016)

S. Hart et al Nat. Phys. 10 (2014)

Another set of interference



Superconductivity proximity to topological states

- Various proposals on coupling superconductivity to topological states
- Potential application in fault-tolerance quantum computation
- Majorana zero mode is predicted with broken symmetry

Nano Lett. (2012)

• In graphene, QH edge states + SC is one of the possible directions



Nat. Nano. (2015)

Nat. Phys. (2016)

Superconductivity proximity to topological states

- Various proposals on coupling superconductivity to topological states 20
- Potential application in fault-tolera
- Majorana zero mode is predicted v
- In graphene, QH edge states + SC is



mputation netry ible directions









R.S.K. MONG et al. PHYS. REV. X 4, 011036 (2014) P. SAN-JOSE et al. PHYS. REV. X 5, 041042 (2015)

V. E. Calado et al., Nat. Nano. (2015) Ben Shalom et al. Nat. Phys. (2016)

Graphene Josephson junction

V. E. Calado et al., Nature Nano. (2015).M. Ben Shalom et al., Nat. Phys. (2016).M. T. Allen et al., Nat. Phys. (2016).

- Critical current is suppressed at DP
- P/N interface reduces supercurrent
- Multiple Andreev reflection







Supercurrent at the QH edge states

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 83, 195441 (2011)

supercurrent carried by quantum Hall edge states through a Josephson junction

J. A. M. van Ostaav. A. R. Akhmerov. and C. W. J. Beenakker $I(\Phi) = -\frac{4\pi k_B T}{\varphi_0} \sin(2\pi \Phi/\varphi_0) (W/\xi_c)^2 \frac{\sin^2 \beta}{\beta^2}$ $\times \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} [\cosh(\omega_p \tau_0) + X]^{-1}, \qquad (5.10)$

$$X = [\cos(2\pi \Phi/\varphi_0) - \cos(\pi \delta \Phi/\varphi_0)](W/\xi_c)^2 \frac{\sin^2 \beta}{\beta^2} + (\pi W A_{AR}/\varphi_0) \frac{\sin 2\beta}{\beta} \sin(\pi \delta \Phi/\varphi_0) - \cos 2\beta \cos(\pi \delta \Phi/\varphi_0), \qquad (5.11)$$

$$\beta = \sqrt{(\pi W A_{\rm AR}/\varphi_0)^2 + (W/\xi_c)^2}.$$
 (5.12)

 $\begin{array}{c}
e/h \\
h \\
e/h
\end{array}$

$$\xi_{\rm c} = \hbar v / \Delta \sim 500 \text{ nm} >> l_0 \sim 20-30 \text{ nm}$$





Semiclassical regime: cyclotron diameter 2r_c > L

• QH regime: cyclotron diameter 2r_c < L

M.T. Allen et al., Nat. Phys. (2016)





Glossary: phase, voltage, critical current

